

Indiana Athletic Trainers' Association

COVID-19 KN-95 Grant Proposal Rubric



The following rubric will be used to grade blinded grant proposals by a sub-committee of the IATA EC leadership.

Categories	Poor (0)	Neutral (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)
Professional Presentation: grammar, structure, punctuation, style of language, etc	Grant proposal lacks most major components of a professional grant proposal. Grant is not structured in a professional manner. Grammar, punctuation, style of language negatively impact the ability of the proposal to adequately convey a strong message.	Grant lacks some aspects of professional presentation. Grant proposal has grammatical, structural or punctuation errors that hinder the message. Style of language makes the message difficult to relate.	Grant has minor errors impacting professional presentation. Grammatical, structural or punctuation errors are minimal and do not negatively impact the message of the proposal. Style of language that convincing but has weak points.	Grant is presented professionally in all aspects. No grammatical or structural errors. Punctuation and style of language do not disrupt the strength of the message within the proposal.
Justification/Needs analysis: quality of reasons for need, quantity of reasons for need, thorough evaluation of clinical practice environment, etc	Grant proposal lack most major components of justification/needs analysis. Grant does not adequately convey multiple reasons for necessity at clinical practice environment. There is no evidence that thorough evaluation took place to identify contributing factors for need,	Grant proposal lacks some components of justification/needs analysis. Grant identifies a single reason for necessity. There is minimal evidence of thorough evaluation of clinical practice site for barriers to adequate PPE and contributing factors.	Grant proposal is missing minimal components of justification/needs analysis which do not hinder message. Grant identifies some reasons for necessity but could identify more. Critical evaluation of clinical practice site is noted but key components are missing (quality of information or	Grant proposal conveys a strong message for justification/need. Grant proposal identifies multiple, quality factors which highlight the need for additional PPE at clinical practice site.

	barriers to PPE supply, etc.		quantity of reasons).	
Rationale/Plan for use: quality of implementation plan, detail of implementation plan, resourcefulness, appropriateness of use, thorough evaluation of proper utilization within clinical practice environment	Grant proposal lack most major components of rationale/plan for use. Grant does not adequately convey multiple steps/ideas for efficient and effective use of PPE at clinical practice environment. There is no evidence that thorough evaluation took place to identify a thorough plan for implementation.	Grant proposal lacks some components of rationale/plan for use. Grant identifies a single idea/plan effective and efficient implementation of PPE. There is minimal evidence of thorough evaluation of clinical practice site for efficient and effective implementation of PPE use.	Grant proposal is missing minimal components of rationale/plan for implementation which do not hinder message. Grant identifies some plans for effective and efficient implementation of PPE but could identify more. Critical evaluation of clinical practice site is noted but key components are missing (quality of plan or quantity of steps in plan).	Grant proposal conveys a strong message for rationale/plan for use of PPE. Grant proposal identifies multiple, quality plans which highlight the process by which PPE at clinical practice site are/will be used efficiently and effectively.
Overall	Grant proposal is deficient in multiple categories of grading rubric.	Grant proposal highlights 1-2 areas of rubric well, but at least one area is critically deficient in conveying a quality message.	Grant proposal has minimal errors or deficiencies in 1-2 categories.	Grant proposal is thorough and each category is conveyed in a thorough and effective manner.